Pw (1944) Department.

nsl SERIES.

P.O. No.	941
Dated	30 June

[Despatch Abstract.]

Received _____ Registered _____

To From W.F. Ruffle Esq.,
Presidency Port Officer,
The Madras.

To The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

No.508-A/44

dated 20th March 1944

Sir,

Mangalore - Extending the wharf wall in the south reclamation and reclaiming land for wharves revised estimate.

--

I have the honour to forward for the early sanction of Government, a third revised estimate for Rs.45,300/- for the above work. The second revised estimate for this work was sanctioned for Rs.31,400/- in G.O.No.Ms.1757 dated 26-8-43 Since then, however, a number of unforeseen circumstances including large springs of wate in the foundations made working very difficult as explained in the report. Considering these

and the all round increase in cost of labour etc., I consider that an increase of 50% in rates is quite reasonable

Accordingly the above revised estimate is made out and submitted for sanction of the Government. The work is well under progress and an early sanction is requested

The approval of the local Port Conservancy Board for the revised estimate will be sent in due course.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Presidency Port Officer.

for

I above may be awaited

Seen

the proposal may be sanctioned subject to the approval of the

"Extending the wharf wall in the
ing wharves at Mangalore Port".

Original Estimate
1st revised estimate
2nd -do-
3rd -do-

The above work was originally given on tender in '42-4 to Mr. M.Dummappa, a local contractor of Mangalore, who could not satisfactorily proceed with the deep excavation of the founds under the open conditions of the tidal river Gurpur. Consequently his contract was cancelled. The work was again given on quotation to Mr. A.P.Chakko by about June 43 and the work has been put on hand during the present working season from February 1944.

Due to the extraordinary rise in the price of material and cost of living in these war conditions, the rate for labour and materials have also increased. Further sufficien labour is not available locally or in Malabar on account of the extensive recruitment of young men for war, where greater prospects and attractive service conditions are offered. In fact, Malabar may be said to be the main recruitment centre for the military in South India. Similarly all the material required for the execution of work except rough stone, concrete metal, sand and cement are being conveyed from Calicut by boat or train. Added to these there is the rice control order and scarcity of rice and other commodities is keenly felt. The locality is afflicted with plague on account of which even the Collectorate Offices had to be closed for a ten days. Consequently coolies demur to approach this ar labour is therefore imported from Malabar and country part giving large advances and very high wages of Rs.1-6-0 to Rs.1-8-0 per day in addition to finding their travelling a other expenses.

On proceeding with the excavation of foundations, i was found that 2 large streams of water began to flow thr the existing old wharf walls which are found to be dry pac without filling up of the intentices. This has resulted a great dislocation of the entire programme of work for a fortnight notwithstanding the continuous nonstop working o the two 5" pumps. The ring bund had to be extended and t old wharf walls cut across in 2 places so that the dry pac old wharf walls are eliminated. The percolation and inf through leaks and subsoil dumped-rough-stone foundations a yet large and the pumps just manage to keep the founds fa clear with continuous working. The existing inflow is di through a special drain with necessary shoring planks, pi walings etc.

An increase of 50% over the old rates both schedul and tendered, is therefore ordered by the Presidency Port Officer, Madras after local inspection and examination of the present conditions obtaining at the site so that the may not be stopped as in the case of the previous contract This 50% increase has been adopted for the various rates o items excluding the supply materials supplied departmer such as random rubble stone, broken metal 1½" and cement.

Under such extraordinary and difficult conditions, foundation concrete have been laid for about 125' and masc and other items of work are now in progress. A flight of steps 2'-0" wide is provided at the south for the passeng traffic who frequent the ford to go to the Ullal Village situated on the right bank of the river. The ring bur

on the south side has been extended until it meets with the S.I.Ry. compound high ground. The gravel backing to revetment is dispensed with since hard clayey high ground is available at the site. The provision for road formations has also been cut off so that the made-up earth may settle. The other - unaffected items of the original estimate are retained.

M. RamaBrahmam,
Assistant Engineer (Marine)

L.A. Sivarama Iyer,
Section Officer, Calicut.

AT/18.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..		
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT

Ports — Mangalore.

Extending the wharf road in the south reclamation and reclaiming land for wharves. Revised estimate Sanctioned

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 1757 P.W., dated 26.8.43. 19

" " " 19

READ *ALSO* :—

No. 1506 F/44 From the Ports, No. 508 M/ dated 2.9.43 19

" " " " " 19

" " " " " 19

Order / Resolution Ms. / Rout. No. 941, dated 30.3. 19

Subject to the approval of the P.C. Board / Railway Board, the sanction of the Govt. is hereby accorded for the third re

Forty five Thousand Three hundred only for
extending the wharf walls in the South
reclamation area, and for reclaiming land
for wharves, at the Port of Mangalore
in supersession of the estimate
for Rs 81,400/- sanctioned in GO No 1257 PW&S (D)
26 Rs 1.2

Note Secy's instructions is regd at page 1 of (D).

Subject F Section
I submit the above (D) in
this file - 7 on an
enclosure.

No. 508 A/44-2 Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 21st April, '44.

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras.

*Copy forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras, in continuation of this office letter to Government No.508-A/44 dated 20-3-44.

Regarding the first paragraph of the Board's resolution suggesting a re-examination of the rates provided in the revised estimate, I have to state the following:-

(1) All the important materials for the work viz. stone for masonry, broken stone jelly for concrete and cement are being supplied departmentally for the work at previously agreed rates and as such the increase in rates refers mainly to labour only. This increase in labour cost is accepted by the Board in its resolution.

(2) The only item of work in which both labour and materials are supplied by the contractor is the ring bund in which the increase in rates allowed is only 15%.

(3) The total increase of the estimate amount is not solely due to the increase in rates. The large quantity of springs met with in excavating the foundations necessitated the provision of extra lengths of ring bund both in the main wharf wall portion and for the side retaining wall for which no ring bund was provided originally. Thus a total length of 790 Rft. of ring bund was found necessary as against a provision of 400 Rf in the original estimate, this itself entailing an extra cost of Rs.6,698/- of the total excess of Rs.13,900/- in the 3rd Revised Estimate. Similarly, the provision of steps and a certain extra quantity of excavation found necessary due to the silting up of the area during the previous season have also partly contributed towards the increase in the estimate amount.

(4) There is a definite increase in rates both of materials and labour since the last revised estimate was prepared in August 1943. It is becuase of a recognition of this fact that the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle, in his No. 159-WC dated 5-3-44 has revised the schedule of rates to provide for an increase of 50% with effect from 1-2-44.

(5) While accepting the increase of 50% in labour cost the Board suggests that this be adopted for the lower eight feet of the wall only. I have to point out that the labour which is specially imported to work under conditions of a water-logged area cannot be dispensed with or replaced by others in the top reaches as the whole operation of construction is a continuous one at various levels along the length of the wall.

In view of all these considerations, I am of opinion that the rates adopted in the third revised estimate sanctioned in G.O.No.Ms.941, P.W.D., dated 30-3-44 are quite reasonable.

Funds required to meet the additional expenditure will be met by the sale as and when required of Government Securities belonging to the Mangalore Landing and Shipping Fund under the sanction of Government.

Executive Engineer

Presidency Port Officer

*Resolution No.X of the Port Conservancy Board, Mangalore, dated 14th April 1944.

MINOR PORTS FUND.

CHITTA OF THE PORT OF Tirumalairayanpattinam [Vide Rule (8)].

For the period from 5-2-42 *to* 14-2-1942

B.	Port dues.				Harbour craft Fees.		Ground Rent.		Overtime Fees.				
	Cash.		Deposit										
	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.

Copy of resolution No.X of the Port Conservancy Boa
Mangalore, dated 14th April 1944.

--

Read Presidency Port Officer's No.508-A/44 dated 2C
forwarding revised estimate for extending the wharf wall i
South reclamation and reclaiming land for wharves amountir
Rs.45,300/- and to submit proposals for meeting the extra
diture.

"The Board notes with regret that a third and substantial increase in contract rates is now considered necessary. The Board finds it difficult to accept this statement as they are strongly of the opinion that no increase can be justified on the grounds of increased labour or material rates on those current in August 1943 when the second estimate was made. The Board do not however consider itself competent to decide what increase is justified in order to meet extra cost in dealing with the unforeseen "springs' referred to: they wish however to suggest that the increase should not in any case exceed 50% of the labour cost (estimated in 1943) to be involved in constructing the retaining wall foundations i.e. for the lower eight feet of its total length. The Board further suggest that the matter be re-examined in the light of these, their remarks.

The Board submits that funds required to meet the above additional expenditure may be realized by the sale of Government Securities to cover the amount involved."

MINOR PORTS FUND.

CHITTA OF THE PORT OF Vadalaran [Vide

For the period from 8.1.42 *to* 14.1.194[illegible]

Date.	Port dues.				Harbour craft Fees.		Ground Rent.		Overtime Fees.	
	Cash.		Deposit.							
	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.

37
28/4

Ors 2127 P/44-1 [redacted]

Ports - Mangalore -
Extending the wharf wall
in the Hooper reclamation
and reclaiming foreshores

From the Pr. No 508 A/cc
Dt 21-12-cc

Recorded
No
Examiner

27 cc
27.12.cc

22/4

C.R. 32 (a).

..... Department From (Name) J.M.Balmforth Esq., Designation Presidency Port Officer, Station M a d r a s.	Received ..... Registered 4527 To The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, M a d r a s.

Enclosures: 5.

No. 508-A/44-4 dated 15th July 1944.

Sub:- Mangalore - Extension of wharf wall in the south reclamation area and reclaiming wharves - Revised rates - applicability.

I have the honour to forward for the orders of Government a copy of the petition received from the Contractor for the above work. In this connection I have to mention that the third revised estimate sanctioned in G.O. No.Ms.941, Public Works Departmen dated 30-3-1944, was for the entire work at the revised rates. A statement showing the cost of work done up to 30-3-1944 (the date of sanction of the third revised estimate) at the original agreement rates and the revised estimate rates is also enclosed. The difference in cost of Rs.4,655/- is covered by the sanction of the third revised estimate.

2. The contractor's request was referred to the

the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts for his advice from the audit point of view and the copies of correspondence relating thereto are also enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
S·i r,
Your most obedient servant,

J.M. Balmforth

Presidency Port Officer.

PORT OFFICER 508 Ville 25 JUL 1944 MADRAS

MR.14.

Encls No 4527 Genl 8 24-7-44

The PAO is requested to offer his remarks on the request of the contra

for Secretary to G

in Presidency Port Officer will kindly be returned with re

No.508-A/44-1

G.O.No.MS.941, P.W.D., dated 30-3-1944.

Copy together with a copy of the sanctioned third revised estimate, registered as M.E.No.3/44-45, forwarded to the Port Officer, Mangalore fo information. The necessary supplemental schedule providing for the revis rates from the date of sanction and the statement of authorised extras an omissions may be ordered to be prepared by the Section Officer and submit for acceptance at an early date.

From A.P.Chakko, Calicut. II
To The Presidency Port Officer, Madras.
Dated 5-5-1944.

Ref: Extending south wharf wall - Mangalore port - revised estimate.

When the Presidency Port Officer inspected the work last, I personall represented the extreme difficulty in procuring necessary materials and labour required for the work and the enormous expenditure incurred thereby and also the extra works and expenditure incurred in getting through work and the Presidency Port Officer on personal inquiry was satisfied with my representation and was kind enough to issue orders to the Section Officer prepare and submit a revised estimate.

The revised estimate was sanctioned by Govt. on 30-3-44 and the order with the revised estimate was communicated with Presidency Port Officer's No.508-A/44-1 dated 14-4-44.

I now understand that the revised rates are applicable only on works executed after the date of sanction viz. 30-3-44 vide Presidency Port Officer's No.580-A/44-1 dated 14-4-44.

I respectfully submit that major difficult portion of the work was completed before the date of sanction and it is a very hard hit on me if the enhanced rates are not applicable to that portion of work. On worki out I find I have to lose Rs.4,000/- approximately.

As I am at present not in a position to forego such a large sum, I that the order dated 14-4-44 may kindly be modified so that the revised rates may be applicable to the whole work as sanctioned by the Governmen

---

III

From The Presidency Port Officer, Madras.
To The Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, Madras.
No.508-A/44-3, dated 20-5-44.

Sub:- Mangalore - Extension of wharf wall in the south reclamation area and reclaiming area for wharves.

I have the honour to inform you that an estimate for the above work sanctioned by Government in G.O.No.Ms.1334 dated 14-5-42 and the work wa entrusted to contractor Sri M.Dummappa the lowest tenderer. His contrac was subsequently closed as he failed to proceed with the work as per ter of the contract. When departmental efforts to get (on hire) pumpsets required for the work failed, contractor Mr.A.P.Chakko, with whom only t requisite pumpsets indispensable for the work were available, was called up on to submit his quotations for the work. Based on this quotation a revised estimate for Rs.31,400/- was sanctioned in G.O. Ms. No.1757 date 26-8-1943. The necessary agreement was executed by the contractor and t work taken up.

From the copies of correspondence enclosed, it will be seen that the contractor, after putting the work under progress, made oral representat for enhanced rates to the Presidency Port Officer (my predecessor) durin the latter's inspection of the work. After a personal examination by th Presidency Port Officer of the then prevailing conditions, a third revis estimate for Rs.45,300/- was submitted to Government sanction for which accorded in G.O. No.Ms.941 dated 30-3-44. The contractor was then calle upon to execute the necessary supplemental schedule providing for enhanced rates as sanctioned in the third revised estimate, with from the date of sanction in accordance with the rules contained in para 176(b) of the Madras P.W.D.Code.

The contractor has put in a petition, vide copy enclosed, req

MINOR PORTS FUND.

250 Bks.—28-2-40.

CHITTA OF THE PORT OF Nagapattinam (Vide Rule (8).

For the period from 22 5 / 41. *to* 31 5 / 41 ~~194~~

	Port dues.						Harbour craft Fees.		Ground Rent.		Overtime Fees.						Daily total.		
	Cash.			Deposit.															
	Receipt number.	Amount.		Receipt number.	Amount.		Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.	Receipt number.	Amount.		Receipt number.	Amount.		Receipt number.	Amount.	
		RS.	A. P.		RS.	A P.		RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.		RS A P.			RS. A. P.			RS. A. P.	
5/41	1983.	1	7 9															1 7 9	
Total:—		1	7 9															1 7 0	

a modification of this office order referred to above, so that the revised rates may be made applicable to the whole work. I request to be informed, as early as possible, the definite action to be taken after the matter has been studied from the audit point of view.

Ground A

250 Bks.—28-2-40.

Daily total.

RS.	A.	P.
1	11	10
1	11	10

Bapu-
A. Commandant

IV

From The Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, Kilpauk P.O.. Madras.
To The Presidency Port Officer, Madras.
L.F.No.1831 dated 12-6-44.

Sub:- Mangalore Extension of wharf wall in the South reclamation area and reclaiming area for wharves.
Ref:- Letter No.508-A/44-3 dated the 20th May 1944.

In the absence of specific orders from Government that the revised rates will have retrospective effect, the request of the contractor to make the revised rates applicable to the whole work cannot be admitted in audit.

250 Bks.—98-2-40.

number.	Amount.			Daily total.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
				0	4	0
				0	4	0

Received 4 annas only

Superintendent
Port Conservator

Madras.

Extension of Wharf Wall - Mangalore

Comparative Statement showing work done to end of 31.3.1944 at agreement and revised estimate rates.

Details of work.	Work done at agreement rate			Value at revised estimate rate. G.O. 9418 30.3.44.			More
	Q	Rate	Amount	Q	Rate	amount	
Forming river bund.	585	13-4-0	7751	585	15-3-0	8885	1134
Earthwork excavating foundation	96876	15-0-0	14453	96876	22-8-0	2180	1727
Concrete 1½" Cement mortar	4699	47-0-0	2209	4699	54-14-0	2579	370
Random rubble dry masonry	9478	27-8-0	2606	9478	35-4-0	3341	735
Random rubble in Cement 1:5	4189	45-0-0	1885	4189	54-10-0	2288	403
Pointing with Cement mortar	1798	5-0-0	90	1798	6-8-0	117	27
Dumping & baling walls		L.S.	3000	Proportionate		4260	1260
			18994			23650	4656
						18994	
						4656	

Date. (1)	Consecutive number of Entry. (2)	Consecutive number of Clearance. (3)	Name of vessel, foreign or coasting, with date and hour of arrival within Geographical limits of the Port (4)	Number of crew. (5)	Colour and Nationality. Steamers. British. (6)	Steamers. British Indian. (7)	Steamers. Foreign. (8)	Sailing craft. British. (9)	Sailing craft. British Indian. (10)	Sailing craft. Foreign. (11)	Original port from which sailed. (12)	Last port from which sailed. (13)	To what port cleared. (14)	Port of ultimate destination. (15)
40	146		mj: Nattaran (e) 19-5-40 (30Pm)	9			29 of calicut) Raghoo	B.S.			— Cochin			
40	147		S.S. Urbino (f) 20-5-40 (4.30 Pm)	71	Br.	(139343 — 17/1919 Hull) E.A.L. Gould					Liverpool	mumagoa		
		34	(f) —— Do ——	71	..	—— Do ——							Calicut	London
40	148		S.S. Kalmarsundtx (e) 22-5-40 (3.47 Pm)	38		B.S.	(172590. ROI of Bombay) E. Bassood				Alleppey	Badagara		
		35	(e) —— Do ——	38		—— Do ——							— Bombay	
40	149		S.S. Nawab (e) 23-5-40 (6Am)	76	Br.	(137429 — 19/1915 Liverpool) C.R. Harrison					Bassein	Calicut		
		136	(e) —— Do ——	76	..	—— Do ——							Bombay ——	
40	150		S.S. Garmula (e) 24-5-40 (6.10 Am)	81	..	(144203 — 26/1920 Glasgow) J.K. Collins					mowlmein	Calicut		
		137	(e) —— Do ——	81	..	—— Do ——							Cannanore	Rangoon

No 366P (Brough) Submitted to the Presi

No. 508 A/44-5

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 28th July 1944.

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras.

*Retransmitted.

2. The contractor's quotation was made on 30th June 1943. The revised estimate was sanctioned 27-8-1943. The site was handed over to the contractor on 1st February 1944, the date on which the enhanced rates for the west coast approved by the Superintending Engineer came into force.

3. In the interim between June 1943 and February 1944, the cost of materials and labour increased tremendously at Mangalore. It was on this basis that the Presidency Port Officer approved the enhancement of the contractor's rates. It is reasonable that the contractor should be given the benefit of the revised rates from 1st February 1944, the date on which the site was handed over to the contractor.

Presidency Port Officer.

*Endorsement No.4527-F/44-1, Public Works Department, dated 24-7-1944.

MR.27.

MINOR PORTS FUN

CHITTA OF THE PORT OF _____

For the period from _____ to _____

Port dues.						Harbour craft Fees.			Ground Rent.			Overtim	
		Deposit.											
unt.		Receipt number.	Amount.			Receipt number.	Amount.		Receipt number.	Amount.		Receipt number.	
A.	P.		Rs.	A.	P.		Rs.	A.	P.		Rs.	A.	P.			F

1/ PWD

Ord. No 41 F 'ten-2. dt:

Ports - Mangalore Extension of wharf wall in South Reclamation area, and reclaiming wharves. Revised rates - applicability - orders

—

From the PWD. No 508 A1 44-5 dt 28-7-44

The Govt. accept the CPWD's recommendation and direct that revised estimate approved in 941/PW dt 30.3.1944 be given effective effect from 1-2-44.

W

INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT.

Director-General's General Circular No. 5.

MONDAY, 1ST JUNE 1942.

I.—Use of complimentary phrases in communicating with the officers of the Department.

In supersession of the instructions contained in item II of the Director-General's General Circular No. 2, dated the 27th April 1942, it is hereby ordered that complimentary phrases like "I have the honour, etc.," at the beginning and end of a letter should be dropped entirely as far as correspondence within the Department is concerned. Such correspondence should be in memorandum form without any preamble or final compliments.

(C.-30-29/42).]

II.—Sale of the Director-General's Report on the work of the Indian Posts and Telegraphs Department for the year 1940-41.

The Report on the work of the Indian Posts and Telegraphs Department for the year 1940-41 is available for sale through any Post or Telegraph Office and a notice on the subject is being distributed for exhibition in the notice board of all offices.

Copies of the Report, which has been printed in royal octavo size, have been sent to all Postmasters-General, and the Director, Posts and Telegraphs, Sind and Baluchistan, to whom requisitions, bearing a postage stamp or stamps, duly defaced in payment of the price, namely eight annas a copy, should be submitted.

(M-14-1/41.)

W. H. SHOOBERT,

Director-General of Posts and Telegraphs

MGIPAB.—819 1-6-42—10,500.

5865 F/44

Request for copy of memo 4527 F/44 dd 2.8.44

Typist

Pl type copy of memo referred to on p2 c.p.d dealing with the Gold position

4/10/44 (2) Despr.

To discuss the matter in regard to return of gold

B. Sendy No 5865F/44-1

Please enter despatch and return in the Outward

9/5 Colum

From J.M.Balmforth Esq.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras.

Received ..... Registered .....

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

No. 508 A/44-2
Dated 3rd November 1944.

Sir,

Sub:- Port of Mangalore -
Extending the wharf-
wall in the south
reclamation and re-
claiming land for
wharves supplemental
agreement - acceptance
of - requested.

With reference to Government Memorandum No.4527-I/44-2, Public Works Department, dated 2-8-1944, I have the honour to forward for the acceptance of Government the supplemental agreement executed by contractor Mr.A.P.Chacko in respect of the above work.

work.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

J M Balmforth

Presidency Port Officer.

Encl:-One Agreement.

MR.3 PWD

Our No. 6642F/44-1 dt 16.11.44

Subj: Ass A al-p lamb

Ref: from no PPO No 508 A/44-2 3-11-44.

The supplemental agreement forwarded under PPO with his reference cited above is accepted as returned.

[illegible]

The Secretary's signature is required with the agreement.

[illegible]